3rd AIAA Sonic Boom Prediction Workshop

Dassault Aviation results and perspectives
Table of Contents

• Dassault Aviation and the supersonic activities
• RUMBLE project overview
• Far field propagation applications
• Sonic Boom Prediction Workshop test cases
• Summary & Perspectives
Dassault Aviation and the supersonic: International collaboration on SB activities

- Participation to WG1 activities within ICAO/CAEP

- Participation to NASA SonicBAT flight test campaign analysis

- Participation to the AIAA Sonic Boom Workshop (2nd & 3rd)

- Participation in EU/RU RUMBLE project
  (RegUlation and norM for low sonic Boom LEvels)
  • Dedicated Work Package on Sonic Boom prediction capabilities
    • Validation of Near field modeling
    • Validation of Far field modeling
RUMBLE project description

WP1 – Recommendations for Regulation on Low Sonic Boom
Requirements, coordination of RUMBLE achievements with ICAO workplan, recommendations for a Sonic Boom Standard

WP2 – Sonic Boom prediction capabilities
Near field sonic boom prediction, modeling of atmosphere effects on far field, indoor sonic boom effects models, Low boom aerodynamic shapes definition, recommendations for prediction tools chain progress

WP3 – Human response to Sonic Boom
Outdoor and indoor human response to low sonic boom, outdoor low boom simulator tests, indoor low boom simulator tests, assessment of metrics, recommendations for low boom demonstrator community surveys

WP4 – Flight Procedures and Instrumentation Specifications
Relevant flight procedures and instrumentation for the substantiation of sonic boom levels, innovative way to characterize the atmosphere, recommendations for the flight procedures and instrumentation

WP5 – Flight Tests
Flight tests using a legacy aircraft to validate the flight procedures, instrumentation and post processing of the test data, experimental database to validate sonic boom prediction

WP6 – Concept for a Low boom flying demonstrator
High level technical requirements toward a Low boom Flying Demonstrator, recommendations for a low boom flying demonstrator design

WP7 – Dissemination and Exploitation

WP8 – Management
RUMBLE project description:
Illustration of the work performed by partners

Flight test campaign performed by Gromov Flight Research Institute (Russia) in July 2018 and August 2019

UPMC Sonic Boom Demonstrator at St-Cyr. 19th June 2019 ICAO/CAEP/WG1 visit.
Comparison of metrics between NASA and RUMBLE partners

The results show an overall good comparison (< 0.3 to 0.5 dB difference)

A Python package was delivered to the partners to share a common tool to compute sound metrics.
Comparison between RUMBLE Partners

• Far field prediction comparison: ONERA - DASSAULT

Using the workshop test cases: Case 1 with no dissipation

The comparison is excellent in this specific test case with no absorption.
August 2012 IGRA Atmospheric profiles:

Data from August 2012 from a subset of 24 locations in the world have been firstly assembled by NASA. Around 1100 atmospheres propagations have been run and the propagations compared.

- 1338 less than 1 degree
- 189 higher than 1 degree

The differences may be in the absorption model.
Far field propagation applications

- Using atmosphere database (IGRA, radiosonde and ERA5 Reanalysis)
- Sensitivity evaluation of propagation through different atmospheres:
  - Ground signal amplitude
  - Mach cut-off
  - Focusing zones
- Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of atmospheres</th>
<th>December to February</th>
<th>March to May</th>
<th>June to August</th>
<th>September to November</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IGRA</td>
<td>90830</td>
<td>97990</td>
<td>98465</td>
<td>96030</td>
<td>383315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERA5</td>
<td>239760</td>
<td>245088</td>
<td>245088</td>
<td>242424</td>
<td>972360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Atmospheric sensitivity
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Governing equations (DAbang)

Ray : 6 equations

\[ \begin{align*}
\frac{d x_{ray}}{d \psi} &= u_0 x (z) + c_0(z) \frac{s_x}{s} \\
\frac{d y_{ray}}{d \psi} &= u_0 y (z) + c_0(z) \frac{s_y}{s} \\
\frac{d z_{ray}}{d \psi} &= c_0(z) \frac{s_z}{s} \\
\frac{d s_x}{d \psi} &= 0 \\
\frac{d s_y}{d \psi} &= 0 \\
\frac{d s_z}{d \psi} &= -s \frac{d c_0}{d z} - s_x \frac{d u_0 x}{d z} - s_y \frac{d u_0 y}{d z}
\end{align*} \]

Blokhintsev invariant :

\[ B(t_{av}, \Phi, \psi) \]

Tube area: 12 equations

Age variable: 1 equation

ODE solved with a Runge Kutta order 5 algorithm
Sonic Boom Prediction Workshop Results

Test Case 1

Meteo:
- Major North and strong East wind
- Temperature inversion near tropopause
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Test Case 2: Standard Atmosphere

Meteo:
- No wind
- Strong temperature gradient

Pierre-Elie Normand (Dassault Aviation)
Sonic Boom Prediction Workshop Results
Test Case 2 : Balloon

**Meteo:**
- No North wind and strong East wind
- No temperature inversion
• Summary
  • Presented the RUMBLE activities
  • DAbang SB prediction code consists in solving a 19 ODE (6 for ray tracing, 12 for tube area, 1 for non linearities) by Runge Kutta order 5 algorithm.
  • Within RUMBLE we showed that with no absorption we have an excellent code-to-code comparison
  • Benched sound metrics computation
  • Applications : atmospheric sensitivity in terms of metrics and mach cut off

• Perspectives
  • Include cases without absorption effect (less physical but more discriminating)
  • Include in the comparison the value of the Blokhintsev invariant along the ray as well as the age variable
  • Share the implementation of the absorption model (damping ISO9613-B and dispersion terms)
  • Extend the comparison to a full database with a wide variety of atmospheres
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