3rd AIAA Sonic Boom Prediction Workshop

sBOOM Propagation for the Third AIAA Sonic Boom Prediction Workshop

Michael J. Aftosmis
Computational Aerosciences Branch
NASA Ames Research Center
Moffett Field, CA 94035
michael.aftosmis@nasa.gov

Wade M. Spurlock
Science and Technology Corp.
Computational Aerosciences Branch
Moffett Field, CA 94035
wade.m.spurlock@nasa.gov



4-5 Jan 2020, Orlando, FL, USA

Overview

- Preliminaries
  - Conventions & propagation primer
  - Mesh Convergence & oversampling
- Results for Cases 1 & 2
  - Ground signals for Standard Atm. & Required Atm.
  - Cutoff angles
  - Carpet noise metrics
  - Ground Intercepts, boom carpets & raytubes
- Summary & observations

Wind Convention in sBOOM



- sBOOM wind uses *left handed* coord. sys.
- β = heading
- β = 0° A/C pointed East, cw+
- sBOOM wind tables are in meters vs m/s
- x and y are wind components ("blows toward")

→ (x, y) = (1, 0) is tail wind if heading is East

↓ (x, y) = (0, 1) is tail wind if heading is South

↘ (x, y) = (1, 1) is tail wind if heading is SE

- Workshop has aircraft flying E,
  - This is 0° heading in sBOOM

Propagation Primer



- Quasi-1D integration of Burger's equations occurs in tube along the ray path
- Determines the ground intercept of sound emanating from given trajectory point & azimuth
- Ray path determines time required for signal propagation

Wind Effects

- Only consider crossrange and downrange winds (no up/down drafts)
- Wind can alter path of raytube (ray at ϕ=0° shown)
- Paths are scaled by local raytube area



Raytube from SBPW2 axibody

Mesh Convergence

Sensitivity of noise output to discretization of near field signal

- Propagation code is solving augmented Burgers' via finite difference method
- Need to make sure loudness metrics are sufficiently mesh converged
  - Mesh convergence of propagation is case dependent (on signal, azimuth & atm.)
  - Mesh refinement study done for each near field signal (using Std. and Reqd. Atm.'s)
- Truncation error directly impacts accuracy, resolution requirements are driven by need to minimize error in propagation
  - Initial signal from nearfield CFD typically has < 2000 points
  - Propagation typically requires 40000-100000 points (oversampled by 20-50x)
  - Discrete ASEL filter can be poorly behaved at high sampling frequencies (> ~250kHz)

  ➛ *this limits maximum allowable oversampling*
- How much accuracy is needed?
  - Atmospheric variability generally 2-5 dB, but may be ~10 dB in some cases
  - Generally tried to keep propagation error under ±0.2 dB

Mesh Convergence

Sensitivity of noise outputs to refinement of the propagation mesh





- C25P signals at ϕ = 0°, using from 20k 300k points (80-1230 kHz) for propagation
- Despite similarities in ground signal, mesh convergence of ASEL is quite slow



- C25P signals at ϕ = 0°, using from 20k 300k points (80-1230 kHz) for propagation
- Despite similarities in ground signal, mesh convergence of ASEL is quite slow

Mesh Convergence

Convergence ASEL noise metric with sampling frequency



- ASEL converges slowly
  - Need ~600kHz (~150k pts) to converge ASEL to ±0.01dB
- However, discrete ASEL filter starts to have issues at ~250kHz, and blows up ~500kHz
- On this case (C25P) hard to guarantee ASEL error < ± 0.1dB
- Discrete BSEL and CSEL remain well behaved till ~1 & 10 MHz (respectively), so generally easier to mesh converge

Mesh Convergence

Convergence of BSEL, CSEL & PLdB noise metrics with sampling frequency



- BSEL, CSEL and PLdB all show good mesh convergence (all on 1 dB scale)
- FFT used for all metrics except for BSEL, but appears to be well behaved
- C-weighting converges fastest (±0.02 dB @ 200kHz)
- PLdB converges slowest (approx. ±0.1 dB @ 200kHz)

Mesh Convergence

Convergence of BSEL, CSEL & PLdB noise metrics with sampling frequency



- To avoid excessive discretization error in propagation used 500-800kHz sampling frequencies for all workshop cases
- Computed noise metrics with FFT in LCASB (*adloud*) for ASEL, CSEL and PLdB noise metrics
- Used digital BSEL filter in sBOOM (well behaved at 500-800kHz)

Case 1: C25P

Powered version of the NASA Concept 25D



Conditions:

- $M_\infty = 1.6$
- Altitude = 15.760 km (52k ft)
- Ground height = 264.069m (866ft)
- *Lprop* = 33.53m (110 ft)
- r/L = 3.0 at signal extraction
- Ground reflection factor = 1.9
- Heading East (β = 0°)

Atmospheric Profiles:

1. Required Atm: with profiles for wind, temp, pressure & humidity
2. Standard Atmosphere

Case 1: C25P Standard Atmosphere

Near field and ground pressure signals

Sign Convention for Azimuth, ϕ



Near Field Signals



- Near field data provided for half-cylinder {-90°, 90°}, ({-50°, 50°} shown)

Case 1: C25P Standard Atmosphere

Propagation altitude = 15760m, ground height = 264m





- Near field data provided for half-cylinder {-90°, 90°}, ({-50°, 50°} shown)
- Propagation shown used 500kHz sampling frequency (142k pts)

Case 1: C25P Ground Signatures

Propagation altitude = 15760m, ground height = 264m





- Reqired Atm. has profiles of crosswind, temperature, humidity and pressure
  - Shows lots of asymmetry, and cutoffs are farther out on both sides

Case 1: C25P Ground Noise

Compare ground noise metrics across the carpet as a function of azimuth





- Azimuthal range of carpet with real atm. is much wider than Standard Atm.
- Real atm. (with wind) reduces peak loudness by ~4 dBA, ~2.5 dBB, ~2 dBC & ~4 PLdB
- Noise at carpet edge drops, but can still be significant

Case 1: C25P Raytubes for Required Atmosphere

Plot 3D raytubes colored by raytube area

- 3D plot of raytubes for real atmosphere
- Shows extremely long propagation times & large raytube areas near edges of the carpet
- Near cutoff, sensitivity to atmosphere increases uncertainty in ground signal



Case 1: C25P Ground Carpet



Project raytube ground intercepts on aircraft ground track

- Cutoff angles: Std. Atm = [±50.8°], Req. Atm = [-78.4°,+69.1°]
- Long propagation distances near signal cutoff imply that these raytubes take a long time to reach the ground
  - Raytube for ϕ = -78.4° takes over 6 mins in Required atm.
  - Mesh convergence near signal cutoff is not nearly as good as at low azimuth angles
  - Higher discretization error due to much longer propagation
  - Propagation for signal cutoff used higher sampling frequency (800 kHz)

Case 2: C609

Preliminary design of X-59 Low Boom Flight Demonstrator

Conditions:

- $M_\infty = 1.4$
- Altitude = 16.4592 km (54k ft)
- Ground height = 110.011 m (361 ft)
- *Lref* = 27.43 m (90 ft)
- *r/L* = 3 at signal extraction
- Ground reflection factor = 1.9
- Heading East (β = 0°)

Atmospheric Profiles:

1. Required Atm: with profiles for wind, temp, pressure & humidity
2. Standard Atmosphere

Case 2: C609 Near Field Signals

Sign Convention for Azimuth, ϕ



Subset of Near Field Signals



- Near field signals provided for 23 azimuths from -70° to +70°
  ϕ = [0, ±10, ±20, ±30, ±40, ±50, ±60, ±62, ±64, ±66, ±68, ±70]
- Signals symmetric ±ϕ

Case 2: C609 Sampling Frequency

Metric convergence with sampling frequency (Std. Atm.)







- Using FFT for metric computation get reasonable mesh convergence of ASEL, CSEL and PLdB by 500kHz.
- Discrete BSEL filter appears well behaved as well
- Similar mesh convergence behavior for other azimuths. Used 500kHz sampling frequency away from cutoff.

Case 2: C609 Ground Signals

Propagation altitude = 16460m, ground elevation = 110m





- Required Atm. includes profiles of crosswind, temperature, humidity and pressure
  - Very asymmetric, with much wider cutoffs on both sides
- Amplitude of ground signal in real atmosphere significantly reduced from Std. Atm.

Case 2: C609 Ground Noise

Compare ground noise metrics across the carpet as a function of azimuth





- Azimuthal range of carpet with Required Atm. is much wider than Standard Atm.
- Despite wind & reduced ground amplitude, Real Atm. and Std. Atm. have similar loudness
- Noise at carpet edge drops significantly in Required Atm.

Case 2: C609 Raytubes for Required Atmosphere

Plot 3D raytubes colored by raytube area

- 3D plot of raytubes for real atmosphere
- Shows extremely long propagation times & large raytube areas near edges of the carpet
- Near cutoff, sensitivity to atmosphere increases uncertainty in ground signal



Case 2: C609 Ground Carpet



Project raytube ground intercepts on aircraft ground track

- Cutoff angles Req. Atm = [-64.1°, 70.6°], Std. Atm = [±44.9°]
- Long propagation distances near signal cutoff imply that these raytubes take a long time to reach the ground
  - Raytube for ϕ = -64.1° cutoff takes over 8.5 mins in Reqd. atm.
  - Mesh convergence near signal cutoff is not nearly as good as at low azimuth angles
  - Higher discretization error due to much longer propagation
  - Propagation for signal cutoff rays used higher sampling frequency (800 kHz)

Summary

- Applied sBOOM v2.82 & LCASB to all required and optional steady propagation cases
- Mesh convergence studies across the carpet to ensure accuracy of the ground signal and loudness metrics. Error in noise metrics can be 2-4x higher near signal cutoff.
- Mesh convergence is relatively slow on intricate non-smooth input signals
- Real atmosphere is *usually* quieter than Standard Atmosphere, (but not always - *e.g.* case 2)
- Ground track of real atmosphere can be nearly 3x wider than Standard day. Crosswinds generally increase track width and can result in large cutoff azimuths
- On windy days, boom may not arrive off-track for over 5 mins after a/c passes (case 2 took 8 mins!)
- Raytube visualization shows potential for loud off-track azimuths to be blown back under-track

Acknowledgements

- Thanks to Sriram Rallabhandi for developing and supporting sBOOM, and to Marian Nemec and David Rodriguez for technical discussions on the various cases
- SBPW3 organizers for their effort in organizing and coordinating the workshop, particularly Melissa Carter, Sriram Rallabhandi, and Mike Park
- ARMD Commercial Supersonic Technology Project for support of this work and advancing the state of the art in boom prediction over the last decade
- NASA Advanced Supercomputing Division for providing computing resources
- NASA Ames Research Center contract NNA16BD60 and Science & Technology Corp. for supporting Wade Spurlock's involvement

Questions?



Backup

Case 2: C609 Sampling Frequency

Metric convergence with sampling frequency (Std. Atm.)







- Using FFT for metric computation get reasonable mesh convergence of ASEL, CSEL and PLdB by 500kHz.
- Discrete BSEL filter appears well behaved as well
- Similar mesh convergence behavior for other azimuths. Used 500kHz sampling frequency away from cutoff.

Case 2: C609 Sampling Frequency

Metric convergence with sampling frequency







- Near signal cutoff, mesh convergence degrades
- Used 800kHz sampling frequency at cutoff
- Discrete BSEL filter appears to remain well behaved
- Std. Atm. worse behaved than Required Atm.

Case 2: C609 Sampling Frequency

PLdB Convergence

Metric convergence with sampling frequency (Required Atm)

ϕ = -64.095° (cutoff)



ϕ = 70.6467° (cutoff)



- Used 800kHz sampling frequency for propagation at outside ±60°