

LAVA Simulations for the 2nd AIAA Sonic Boom Prediction Workshop

Jeffrey Housman, James Jensen, Marie Denison, and Cetin Kiris Computational Aerosciences Branch NASA Ames Research Center

2nd AIAA Sonic Boom Prediction Workshop January 7, 2017 Grapevine, Texas

Outline



○ Summary of Cases

- Axie Body of Revolution
- JAXA Wing Body
- C25d-Flowthru
- LAVA Solver
- Computing Requirements
- Computational Grids
- Solver Convergence
- **Results**
- Highlights
 - **Grid Sensitivity**
 - **Viscous Effects**
- Summary Ο



Summary of Cases







- Axie (Euler and RANS)
- \circ JAXA Wing Body (Euler and RANS)
- C25d-Flowthru (Euler and RANS)
 - Mach = 1.6
 - Re = 5.7x10⁶ (per meter)
 - α = 0 β = 0 degrees (geometry includes angle of attack, 2.3067° JWB, 3.375° C25d)



JAXA Wing Body



Launch Ascent & Vehicle Aerodynamics (LAVA) Solver



- Highly flexible with respect to computational mesh
 - Block-structured Cartesian meshes with Adaptive Mesh Refinement (AMR)
 - Unstructured arbitrary polyhedral meshes
 - Structured curvilinear overset meshes
- Overset and Immersed Boundary Methods



Cartesian Immersed Boundary Unstructured Arbitrary Polyhedral Overset Structured Curvilinear Kiris et al. "Computational Framework for Launch Ascent and Vehicle Aerodynamics (LAVA)", Aerospace Science and Technology, Volume 55, 2016

Computational Methodology



- 3-D Unstructured Polyhedral Mesh Solver
- Steady-state Euler or RANS using Spalart-Allmaras (SA) turbulence model
- 2nd-order AUSM-PW+ or modified Roe scheme (van Albada)
- 2nd-order blended least-squares/Green-Gauss viscous fluxes
- ILU(0)-preconditioned GMRES (PETSc)
- **3-D Structured Curvilinear Overset Grid Solver**
- Steady-state RANS using SA turbulence model
- o 6th-order Hybrid Weighted Compact Nonlinear Scheme (HWCNS)
- $\circ~$ Numerical flux is a modified Roe scheme
- o 5th order upwind biased left and right state interpolation (WENO-Z)
- $\circ~2^{nd}\mbox{-}order$ accurate differencing used for viscous fluxes
- High-order accurate free-stream preserving metric terms
- Alternating line-Jacobi relaxation (2 sweeps)

Computing Requirements



Pleiades Supercomputer (NAS)

- o Manufacturer: SGI
- 161 racks (11,472 nodes)
- 7.25 Pflops/s peak cluster
- 5.95 Pflops/s LINPACK (#13 Nov. 2016)
- Total cores: 246,048

Resources (Time per 1000 steps)

• Case 3: C25d-flowthru



Grid (x10 ⁶)	Flux	Model	Proc	Nodes (cores)	Time
U-Coarse (10.9)	Roe	RANS-SA	Haswell	50 (1200)	13 min.
U-Medium (14.2)	Roe	RANS-SA	Haswell	50 (1200)	15 min.
U-Fine (26.7)	Roe	RANS-SA	Haswell	50 (1200)	32 min.
S-Coarse (25.4)	HWCNS	RANS-SA	Ivy Bridge	11 (220)	1 hr. 30 min.
S-Medium (48.5)	HWCNS	RANS-SA	Ivy Bridge	15 (300)	2 hr. 20 min.

Computational Grids



Unstructured Grid Systems

 \circ Axie

- Coarse: 3.3 M
- Medium: 3.9 M
- Fine: 5.9 M
- $\,\circ\,\,$ JAXA Wing Body
 - Coarse: 9.2 M
 - Medium: 18.9 M
 - Fine: 40.0 M
- o C25d-Flowthru
 - Coarse: 10.9 M
 - Medium: 14.2 M
 - Fine: 26.7 M



Computational Grids



Structured Grid Systems • Axie Coarse: 8.0 M Medium: 25.0 M ○ JAXA Wing Body Coarse: 10.5 M Medium: 18.0 M Fine: 32.6 M ○ C25d-Flowthru Coarse: 25.4 M • Medium: 48.5 M 8

Results: Axie



Mach contour on symmetry plane with streamwise slices of pressure











Results: JAXA Wing Body



Mach contour on symmetry plane with streamwise slices of pressure







Comparison of Unstructured Euler to Structured RANS: On-track



Comparison of Unstructured Euler to Structured RANS: Off-track ¹²

Results: JAXA Wing Body











Results: JAXA Wing Body







0.000

Juid/dp-0.002

-0.004

-0.006

145

mu = 0 mu = 0.25

blend

150

155

X (m)

160

- Perfect Mach-angle alignment leads to spurious behavior of the high-order finitedifference scheme at shocks
 - Off-setting the Mach-angle by a 1/4° corrects the behavior
- Adjusting the mesh spacing to become uniform in the streamwise direction effectively produces the slight mis-alignment, but causes additional diffusion 18



Mach contour on symmetry plane with streamwise slices of pressure





Comparison of Loads and Residual Convergence

Grid	Flux	CL	CD	CM	Meanres	Turbres
U-Coarse	Roe	0.0665	0.0146	-0.050	4.7e-5	5.5e-2
U-Medium	Roe	0.0664	0.0145	-0.050	4.5e-5	4.2e-3
U-Fine	Roe	0.0661	0.0143	-0.050	5.0e-5	1.1e-1
S-Coarse	Roe	0.0658	0.0145	-0.050	3.4e-5	6.5e-6
S-Medium	Roe	0.0659	0.0144	-0.050	1.5e-5	5.1e-6
S-Coarse	HWCNS	0.0658	0.0144	-0.050	2.0e-2	6.7e-6
S-Medium	HWCNS	0.0659	0.0144	-0.050	1.3e-2	5.8e-6



Comparison of Unstructured Euler to Structured RANS: On-track²¹



Comparison of Unstructured Euler to Structured RANS: Off-track ²²





High-Frequency Wave Number Content from Geometry Representation ²³



- The LAVA framework using both unstructured polyhedral and structured overlapping grids has been applied to test cases for the 2nd AIAA Sonic Boom Prediction Workshop
- Both grid methodologies show consistent mesh convergence of offbody pressure signatures and similar prediction of loads
- Small differences between calculations using unstructured grids versus structured overlapping grids are observed:
 - Effective body thickening from boundary layer (Euler vs. RANS)
 - High-frequency wave content from geometry representation
- Higher-order accurate discretizations on coarse grids produce nearly equivalent off-body pressure signatures to 2nd-order accurate discretizations on fine grids
- Using Mach-angle aligned meshes with a small offset and without blending is the best practice for off-body structured overset grid generation when using the high-order accurate discretization



- This work is partially funded by the NASA Commercial Supersonics Technology (CST) project
- The authors would like to thank the 2nd AIAA Low Sonic Boom Prediction Workshop committee members for organizing the workshop and providing the CAD and problem specifications
- Computer time has been provided by the NASA Advanced Supercomputing (NAS) facility at NASA Ames Research Center

Go see James Jensen's talk on Monday 11:30-12:00 (Dallas 2) AIAA-2017-0042